	Case 2:07-cv-00975-ECR-GWF	Document 39	Filed 05/02/08	Page 1 of 2
1				
1				
2				
3				
4				
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
6 7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
8	CHASE BANK USA, N.A.,	,		
9	Plaintiff,		Case No. 2:07-0	ev-00975-ECR-GWF
10	VS.		ORDER	W-00773-LCR-G W1
11	NAES, INC., et al.,		ORDER	
12	Defendants.			
13				
14	This matter is before the Court on the Order to Show Cause (#36) directing Defendant NAES,			
15	Inc. to show cause, in writing, no later than April 24, 2008, why sanctions should not be imposed for			
16	the failure to comply with this Court's prior order that if Defendant corporation intended to continue to			
17	defend this matter, it was required to obtain legal counsel. To date, no response has been filed.			
18	Accordingly,			
19	RECOMMENDATION			
20	IT IS RECOMMENDED that Defendant NAES, Inc.'s Answer should be stricken and its			
21	default entered in this case in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant NAES, Inc.			
22	NOTICE			
23	Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2, any objection to this Finding and Recommendation must be in			
24	writing and filed with the Clerk of the Court within ten (10) days. The Supreme Court has held that the			
25	courts of appeal may determine that an appeal has been waived due to the failure to file objections			
26	within the specified time. <i>Thomas v. Arn</i> , 474 U.S. 140, 142 (1985). This circuit has also held that (1)			

failure to file objections within the specified time and (2) failure to properly adDRess and brief the

objectionable issues waives the right to appeal the District Court's order and/or appeal factual issues

28

Case 2:07-cv-00975-ECR-GWF Document 39 Filed 05/02/08 Page 2 of 2

from the order of the District Court. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153, 1157 (9th Cir. 1991); Britt v. Simi Valley United Sch. Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). DATED this 2nd day of May, 2008. United States Magistrate Judge